

REMARKS

The Official Action of September 7, 2005, and the prior art cited and relied upon therein have been carefully studied. The claims in the application are now claims 1 and 3-11, and these claims define patentable subject matter warranting their allowance. Favorable reconsideration and such allowance are respectfully urged.

Claim 2 has been canceled. Claims 1 and 3-11 remain in the application for consideration.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for his indication that claims 2, 6, 7, 8 and 10 are allowable subject to being rewritten in independent form. In response, Applicant has canceled allowable claim 2 and added its features to independent claim 1. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that claim 1 is allowable along with all claims dependent therefrom, and that this application is now in condition for allowance.


The prior art documents made of record and not relied upon have been noted along with the implication that such documents are deemed by the PTO to be insufficiently pertinent to warrant their applications against any of applicant's claims.

Appln. No. 10/791,701
Amdt. dated January 9, 2006
Reply to Office Action of September 7, 2005

Favorable reconsideration and allowance are
earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.
Attorneys for Applicant(s)

By 
Norman J. Latker
Registration No. 19,963

NJL:ma
Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197
Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528
G:\BN\D\dire\Chen406\Pto\Amendment-AF.doc